Coarse Grained Model of a Nanoparticle Inclusion in a Cell's Membrane

Giovanni Marelli

Università degli studi di Padova

March 11, 2009



Drug Delivery

Motivations Setting Stability Appendix

A vesicle is a small sphere, $\simeq 1[\mu m]$, limited by a bilayer membrane. The membrane is composed by a large number of amphiphilic molecules diveded in two blocks, one hydrophobic and one hydrophilic. The hydrophobic blocks compose the interior and are shielded by the hydrophilic that are in contact with the polar solvent. The liposome are vesicle made of lipids, the polymersome are made of polymers.

These vesicles can be equiped with proteins. The particular pattern of these membranes is reconignized by specific membrane of the biological cells to whom the vesicles can fuse or bind. Different types of liposomes and polymersomes, are studied to improve the selectivity and the compatibility of these vesicles with the biological cells [?], [?]. The vesicles can delivery important drugs to free into the cytosol avoiding the contact of the drug with other cells not interested in the therapy.



Drug Delivery

A vesicle is a small sphere, $\simeq 1[\mu m]$, limited by a bilayer membrane. The membrane is composed by a large number of amphiphilic molecules diveded in two blocks, one hydrophobic and one hydrophilic. The hydrophobic blocks compose the interior and are shielded by the hydrophilic that are in contact with the polar solvent. The liposome are vesicle made of lipids, the polymersome are made of polymers.

These vesicles can be equiped with proteins. The particular pattern of these membranes is reconignized by specific membrane of the biological cells to whom the vesicles can fuse or bind. Different types of liposomes and polymersomes, are studied to improve the selectivity and the compatibility of these vesicles with the biological cells [?], [?].

The vesicles can delivery important drugs to free into the cytosol avoiding the contact of the drug with other cells not interested in the therapy.



Drug Delivery

A vesicle is a small sphere, $\simeq 1[\mu m]$, limited by a bilayer membrane. The membrane is composed by a large number of amphiphilic molecules diveded in two blocks, one hydrophobic and one hydrophilic. The hydrophobic blocks compose the interior and are shielded by the hydrophilic that are in contact with the polar solvent. The liposome are vesicle made of lipids, the polymersome are made of polymers. These vesicles can be equiped with proteins. The particular pattern of these membranes is reconignized by specific membrane of the biological cells to whom the vesicles can fuse or bind. Different types of liposomes and polymersomes, are studied to improve the selectivity and the compatibility of these vesicles with the biological cells [?], [?]. The vesicles can delivery important drugs to free into the cytosol

avoiding the contact of the drug with other cells not interested in the therapy.



Motivations Setting Stability Appendix

The nanoparticles are particles ranging in the nanometer scale. They are composed by a metallic (Au) or semiconductor core (CdSe, ZnS), they can have zinc coating, and an external layer of grafted polymers. The polymer brush provides the degrees of polarity of the nanoparticles.

The nanoparticles can be inserted into the vesicles and interact with external electric field. Semiconductor nanoparticles have particular fluorescent spectral lines and trace the position of the liposome and polymersome. Gold nanoparticles can resonate under a tuned electrical stimulus, heat up and kill the malignant cells.

It is of fundamental importance the stability of the nanoparticle in the membrane. The nanoparticles should move together with the vesicles and trace their positions.



The nanoparticles are particles ranging in the nanometer scale. They are composed by a metallic (Au) or semiconductor core (CdSe, ZnS), they can have zinc coating, and an external layer of grafted polymers. The polymer brush provides the degrees of polarity of the nanoparticles. The nanoparticles can be inserted into the vesicles and interact with external electric field. Semiconductor nanoparticles have particular fluorescent spectral lines and trace the position of the liposome and polymersome. Gold nanoparticles can resonate under a tuned electrical stimulus, heat up and kill the malignant cells.

It is of fundamental importance the stability of the nanoparticle in the membrane. The nanoparticles should move together with the vesicles and trace their positions.



Motivations Setting Stability Appendix

The nanoparticles are particles ranging in the nanometer scale. They are composed by a metallic (Au) or semiconductor core (CdSe, ZnS), they can have zinc coating, and an external layer of grafted polymers. The polymer brush provides the degrees of polarity of the nanoparticles. The nanoparticles can be inserted into the vesicles and interact with external electric field. Semiconductor nanoparticles have particular fluorescent spectral lines and trace the position of the liposome and polymersome. Gold nanoparticles can resonate under a tuned electrical stimulus, heat up and kill the malignant cells.

It is of fundamental importance the stability of the nanoparticle in the membrane. The nanoparticles should move together with the vesicles and trace their positions.



Motivations Setting Stability Appendix

The nanoparticles are particles ranging in the nanometer scale. They are composed by a metallic (Au) or semiconductor core (CdSe, ZnS), they can have zinc coating, and an external layer of grafted polymers. The polymer brush provides the degrees of polarity of the nanoparticles. The nanoparticles can be inserted into the vesicles and interact with external electric field. Semiconductor nanoparticles have particular fluorescent spectral lines and trace the position of the liposome and polymersome. Gold nanoparticles can resonate under a tuned electrical stimulus, heat up and kill the malignant cells.

It is of fundamental importance the stability of the nanoparticle in the membrane. The nanoparticles should move together with the vesicles and trace their positions.





An hydrophobic polymer chain in a polar solvent minimize the surace area with the surroundig. The third-order virial expansion is the lowest order equation of state that allows the phase coexistence of the melt with ist vapour. The model is coarse-grained and solvent free and provides onslide the effective interactions between the chains. The determination of the virial coefficients is provided by the incompressibility and the coexistence density of the melt. The density profile of the melt shows a segregation of the melt in a portion of space where the density is constant ρ_{coex} . The liquid-vapour interface is sharp.

Homopolymer Melt

Motivations Setting Stability Appendix

An hydrophobic polymer chain in a polar solvent minimize the surace area with the surroundig. The third-order virial expansion is the lowest order equation of state that allows the phase coexistence of the melt with ist vapour. The model is coarse-grained and solvent free and provides onslide the effective interactions between the chains. The determination of the virial coefficients is provided by the incompressibility and the coexistence density of the melt. The density profile of the melt shows a segregation of the melt in a portion of space where the density is constant ρ_{coex} . The liquid-vapour interface is sharp.

$$\begin{split} & \frac{H[\rho]}{k_BT} = \int \frac{v_{AA}}{2} \rho^2(r) + \frac{2v_{AAA}}{3} \rho^3(r) \mathrm{d}r \\ & \rho_c + \frac{v_{AA}}{2} \rho^2 + \frac{2v_{AAA}}{3} = 0 \\ & k_T^{-1} = \rho + v_{AA} \rho^2 + 2v_{AAA} \rho^3 \end{split}$$



Homopolymer Melt

Motivations Setting Stability Appendix

An hydrophobic polymer chain in a polar solvent minimize the surace area with the surroundig. The third-order virial expansion is the lowest order equation of state that allows the phase coexistence of the melt with ist vapour. The model is coarse-grained and solvent free and provides onslide the effective interactions between the chains. The determination of the virial coefficients is provided by the incompressibility and the coexistence density of the melt. The density profile of the melt shows a segregation of the melt in a portion of space where the density is constant ρ_{coex} . The liquid-vapour interface is sharp.

$$\begin{split} & \frac{H[\rho]}{k_B T} = \int \frac{v_{AA}}{2} \rho^2(r) + \frac{2v_{AAA}}{3} \rho^3(r) \mathrm{d}r \\ & \rho_c + \frac{v_{AA}}{2} \rho^2 + \frac{2v_{AAA}}{3} = 0 \\ & k_T^{-1} = \rho + v_{AA} \rho^2 + 2v_{AAA} \rho^3 \end{split}$$



Diblock copolymer

Motivations Setting Stability Appendix

A new species is added. The chains are formed by one third of hydrophilic monomers (blue) and two third of hydrophobic

The new species adds new interactions. Estimation of the new virial coefficients.

 $v_{BBB} = 0$, good solvent conditions. Empirically: $v_{ABB} = V_{AAB} = V_{AAA}$, $V_{BB} = 0.1$. Formation of stable bilayer.



Flory-Huggins $\chi \simeq \rho_0 \frac{2v_{AB} - (v_{AA} + v_{BB})}{2}.$

Diblock copolymer

Motivations Setting Stability Appendix

A new species is added. The chains are formed by one third of hydrophilic monomers (blue) and two third of hydrophobic The new species adds new interactions. Estimation of the new virial coefficients.

 $v_{BBB} = 0$, good solvent conditions. Empirically: $v_{ABB} = V_{AAB} = V_{AAA}$, $V_{BB} = 0.1$. Formation of stable bilayer.



$$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{split} & \mathsf{Flory-Huggins} \\ & \chi \simeq \rho_0 \frac{2 v_{AB} - (v_{AA} + v_{BB})}{2}. \end{split}$$

Diblock copolymer

Motivations Setting Stability Appendix

A new species is added. The chains are formed by one third of hydrophilic monomers (blue) and two third of hydrophobic The new species adds new interactions. Estimation of the new virial coefficients.

 $v_{BBB}=0,$ good solvent conditions. Empirically: $v_{ABB}=V_{AAB}=V_{AAA},\,V_{BB}=0.1.$ Formation of stable bilayer.



$$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{split} & \mathsf{Flory-Huggins} \\ & \chi \simeq \rho_0 \frac{2 v_{AB} - (v_{AA} + v_{BB})}{2}. \end{split}$$



We can control the thickness, d_t , and the density of chains per area σ_c , varying the number density of chains, ρ_c , and the incompatibility between the two species χN .

Motivations

Stability Appendix



Motivations Setting Stability Appendix

The coarse-grained model does not consider structural details within a certain length. We describe the nanoparticle via two parameters: size and degree of hydrophobicity. In the integrated Lennard-Jones potential the control the size via the hard-core radius and the attraction to the hydrophilic beads via the Hamaker constant

The coarse-grained model does not consider structural details within a certain length. We describe the nanoparticle via two parameters: size and degree of hydrophobicity. In the integrated Lennard-Jones potential the control the size via the hard-core radius and the attraction to the hydrophilic beads via the Hamaker constant

The Hamaker constant controls the contact angle with the hydrophylic melt



The coarse-grained model does not consider structural details within a certain length. We describe the nanoparticle via two parameters: size and degree of hydrophobicity. In the integrated Lennard-Jones potential the control the size via the hard-core radius and the attraction to the hydrophilic beads via the Hamaker constant

The Hamaker constant controls the contact angle with the hydrophylic melt

The hard-core radius and the Hamaker constant control the chemical potential.













$$h = 1.0[k_B T], r = 13.1[nm]$$



Different values of the Hamaker constant and the hard-core radius provide the stability of the nanoparticle.



Phase Diagram

The observed stable nanoparticles range within 3 and 9 $\left[nm\right]$. No information is given up to now on the contact angle.

The observed stable nanoparticles range within 3 and 9 $\left[nm\right]\!.$ No information is given up to now on the contact angle.

The vesicles in the experimental set-up have different sizes. The diameter of these polimersomes can be within two order of magnitude, $100[nm] - 10[\mu m]$ but have the same thickness.

• Study the influence of the curvature on the stability.

The observed stable nanoparticles range within 3 and 9 $\left[nm\right]\!.$ No information is given up to now on the contact angle.

The vesicles in the experimental set-up have different sizes. The diameter of these polimersomes can be within two order of magnitude, $100[nm] - 10[\mu m]$ but have the same thickness.

- Study the influence of the curvature on the stability.
- Control if the presence of apolar molecule can fill the depletion created by large nanoparticles a support the stability.

The observed stable nanoparticles range within 3 and 9 $\left[nm\right]\!.$ No information is given up to now on the contact angle.

The vesicles in the experimental set-up have different sizes. The diameter of these polimersomes can be within two order of magnitude, $100[nm] - 10[\mu m]$ but have the same thickness.

- Study the influence of the curvature on the stability.
- Control if the presence of apolar molecule can fill the depletion created by large nanoparticles a support the stability.
- Improve the mapping with new experimental data.

Dissipative Particle Dynamics¹

• The forces are pair-wise interactions $oldsymbol{F}_{ij}$ and conserve momentum

$${}^{1}k_{B}T = r_{c} = m = 1$$

Dissipative Particle Dynamics¹

- The forces are pair-wise interactions $oldsymbol{F}_{ij}$ and conserve momentum
- The forces are soft

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{f}_{ij} w(r), \quad w(0) := 1 \quad w(r_c) := 0$$

$${}^{1}k_{B}T = r_{c} = m = 1$$

Dissipative Particle Dynamics¹

- The forces are pair-wise interactions F_{ij} and conserve momentum
- The forces are soft
- Brownian thermostat

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{F}_{ij} &= \boldsymbol{f}_{ij} w(r), \quad w(0) := 1 \quad w(r_c) := 0 \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{ij} &= \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}^c + \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}^d + \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}^r \end{split}$$

$$\mathbf{F}^{c} = -\nabla_{r} H[\rho] = -\partial_{r} \int \mathrm{d}^{3} r \left(\frac{v_{2}}{2} \rho^{2} + \frac{v_{3}}{3} \rho^{3}\right)$$

Dissipative Force $\begin{aligned} & \text{Dissipative Force} \\ & F_{ij}^r := -\gamma w^d (r_{ij}) (\mathbf{v}_{ij} r_{ij})^{\hat{r}} \\ & \text{Random Force} \\ & F_{ij}^r := -\sqrt{\frac{24\gamma k_B T \Delta t}{m}} w^r (r_{ij}) \theta_{ij} \\ & \text{Weighting function} \\ & w^c (r_{ij}) = w^d (r_{ij}) = ((w^r) r_{ij})^2 = \\ & (1 - r/r_c) = 0 \text{ if } r > r_c \end{aligned}$

heta uniform random noise, γ friction term,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Density function} \\ & \rho^2 := \sum_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}) \mathfrak{w}(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j|) \\ & \rho^3 := \sum_{ijk} \delta(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}) \mathfrak{w}(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j|) \mathfrak{w}(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_k|) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{Force acting on a particle} \\ & F_{ij}^c = \partial_{r_i} \sum_{jk} \left(\frac{v_2}{2} \, \mathfrak{w}(r_{jk}) + \frac{v_3}{3} \, \mathfrak{w}(r_{jk}) \sum_h \mathfrak{w}(r_{jh}) \right) \\ & F_i^c = \sum_j \left(\left(\frac{v_2}{2} + \frac{2}{3} \, v_3 \sum_k \mathfrak{w}(r_{ij}) \right) \mathfrak{w}'(r_{ij}) \hat{r}_{ij} \right) = \sum_j F_{ij}^c \end{split}$$

$${}^{1}k_{B}T = r_{c} = m = 1$$

Dissipative Particle Dynamics¹ eractions F_{ij}

- The forces are pair-wise interactions and conserve momentum
- The forces are soft

Brownian thermostat Dissipative Force $F_{ij}^d := -\gamma w^d (r_{ij}) (v_{ij} r_{ij}) \hat{r}$

Brownian thermostat

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{F}_{ij} &= \boldsymbol{f}_{ij} \boldsymbol{w}(r), \quad \boldsymbol{w}(0) := 1 \quad \boldsymbol{w}(r_c) := 0 \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{ij} &= \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}^c + \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}^d + \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}^r \end{split}$$

$\mathbf{F}^{c} = -\nabla_{r} H[\rho] = -\partial_{r} \int \mathrm{d}^{3} r \Big(\frac{v_{2}}{2} \rho^{2} + \frac{v_{3}}{3} \rho$

$$\begin{split} & \text{Density function} \\ & \rho^2 := \sum_{ij} \delta(r_i - r) \mathfrak{w}(|r - r_j|) \\ & \rho^3 := \sum_{ijk} \delta(r_i - r) \mathfrak{w}(|r - r_j|) \mathfrak{w}(|r - r_k|) \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Force acting on a particle} \\ F_{ij}^c = \partial_{r_i} \sum_{jk} \left(\frac{v_2}{2} \, \mathfrak{w}(r_{jk}) + \frac{v_3}{3} \, \mathfrak{w}(r_{jk}) \sum_h \mathfrak{w}(r_{jh}) \right) \\ F_i^c = \sum_j \left(\left(\frac{v_2}{2} + \frac{2}{3} \, v_3 \sum_k \mathfrak{w}(r_{ij}) \right) \mathfrak{w}'(r_{ij}) \hat{r}_{ij} \right) = \sum_j F_{ij}^c \end{array}$

 ${}^1k_BT=r_c=m=1$

Dissipative Particle Dynamics¹ eractions F_{ij}

- The forces are pair-wise interactions and conserve momentum
- The forces are soft
- Brownian thermostat

Brownian thermostat

Dissipative Force
$$\begin{split} F_{ij}^{i} &:= -\gamma w^{d}(r_{ij})(v_{ij}r_{ij})\hat{r} \\ \text{Random Force} \\ F_{ij}^{r} &:= -\sqrt{\frac{24\gamma k_B T\Delta t}{m}} w^{r}(r_{ij})\theta_{ij} \\ \text{Weighting function} \\ w^{c}(r_{ij}) &= w^{d}(r_{ij}) = ((w^{r})r_{ij})^{2} = \\ (1 - r/r_{c}) &= 0 \text{ if } r > r_{c} \\ \theta \text{ uniform random noise, } \gamma friction term, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{F}_{ij} &= \boldsymbol{f}_{ij} \boldsymbol{w}(r), \quad \boldsymbol{w}(0) := 1 \quad \boldsymbol{w}(r_c) := 0 \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{ij} &= \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}^c + \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}^d + \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}^r \end{split}$$

$$\mathbf{F}^{c} = -\nabla_{r} H[\rho] = -\partial_{r} \int \mathrm{d}^{3} r \left(\frac{v_{2}}{2} \rho^{2} + \frac{v_{3}}{3} \rho^{3}\right)$$

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Density function} \\ & \rho^2 := \sum_{ij} \delta(r_i - r) \mathfrak{w}(|r - r_j|) \\ & \rho^3 := \sum_{ijk} \delta(r_i - r) \mathfrak{w}(|r - r_j|) \mathfrak{w}(|r - r_k|) \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{split} & \text{Force acting on a particle} \\ & F_{ij}^c = \partial_{r_i} \sum_{jk} \left(\frac{v_2}{2} \, \mathfrak{w}(r_{jk}) + \frac{v_3}{3} \, \mathfrak{w}(r_{jk}) \sum_h \mathfrak{w}(r_{jh}) \right) \\ & F_i^c = \sum_j \left(\left(\frac{v_2}{2} + \frac{2}{3} \, v_3 \sum_k \mathfrak{w}(r_{ij}) \right) \mathfrak{w}'(r_{ij}) \hat{r}_{ij} \right) = \sum_j F_{ij}^c \end{split}$$

$${}^1k_BT=r_c=m=1$$

Dissipative Particle Dynamics¹ eractions F_{ij}

- The forces are pair-wise interactions and conserve momentum
- The forces are soft
- Brownian thermostat

Brownian thermostat

Dissipative Force
$$\begin{split} F_{ij}^{i} &:= -\gamma w^{d}(r_{ij})(v_{ij}r_{ij})\hat{r} \\ \text{Random Force} \\ F_{ij}^{r} &:= -\sqrt{\frac{24\gamma k_B T \Delta t}{m}} w^{r}(r_{ij})\theta_{ij} \\ \text{Weighting function} \\ w^{c}(r_{ij}) &= w^{d}(r_{ij}) = ((w^{r})r_{ij})^{2} = \\ (1 - r/r_{c}) &= 0 \text{ if } r > r_{c} \\ \theta \text{ uniform random noise, } \gamma friction term, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{F}_{ij} &= \boldsymbol{f}_{ij} w(r), \quad w(0) := 1 \quad w(r_c) := 0 \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{ij} &= \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}^c + \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}^d + \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}^r \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Conservative Force} \\ \mathbf{F}^c = -\nabla_r H[\rho] = -\partial_r \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \Big(\frac{v_2}{2} \rho^2 + \frac{v_3}{3} \rho^3 \Big) \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Density function} \\ \rho^2 := \sum_{ij} \, \delta(\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{r}) \mathfrak{w}(|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}_j|) \\ \rho^3 := \sum_{ijk} \, \delta(\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{r}) \mathfrak{w}(|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}_j|) \mathfrak{w}(|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}_k|) \end{array}$

$$\begin{split} & \text{Force acting on a particle} \\ & F_{ij}^c = \partial_{r_i} \sum_{jk} \left(\frac{v_2}{2} \, \mathfrak{w}(r_{jk}) + \frac{v_3}{3} \, \mathfrak{w}(r_{jk}) \sum_h \mathfrak{w}(r_{jh}) \right) \\ & F_i^c = \sum_j \left(\left(\frac{v_2}{2} + \frac{2}{3} \, v_3 \sum_k \mathfrak{w}(r_{ij}) \right) \mathfrak{w}'(r_{ij}) \hat{r}_{ij} \right) = \sum_j F_{ij}^c \end{split}$$

 ${}^1k_BT=r_c=m=1$

The membrane behaves like a incompressible fluid. From the Gibbs's phase rule we can see how many degrees of freedom are required to describe the system

The most convenient way will be to define our model removing the solven (c = 1) and working in the coexistance line between the liquid and vapour interface $(\phi = 1)$

Removing the solvent we obtain a zero pressure sytem. The equation of state should therefore have a non zero density for vanishing pressure A virial expantion of the equation of state can be approximate up to the third order

$$\frac{H}{k_BT} = \int v_{\alpha\beta} \rho^2(r) + v_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \rho^3(r) \mathrm{d}^3 r$$

that conduce to the wanted equation of state

The membrane behaves like a incompressible fluid.

From the Gibbs's phase rule we can see how many degrees of freedom are required to describe the system

The most convenient way will be to define our model removing the solvent (c = 1) and working in the coexistance line between the liquid and vapour interface $(\phi = 1)$

$$f = c - \phi + 2$$

 ϕ number of phases c number of components

f degrees of freedom

Removing the solvent we obtain a zero pressure sytem. The equation of state should therefore have a non zero density for vanishing pressure A virial expantion of the equation of state can be approximate up to the third order

We define the following Hamiltonian

$$\frac{H}{k_BT} = \int v_{\alpha\beta} \rho^2(r) + v_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \rho^3(r) \mathrm{d}^3 r$$

that conduce to the wanted equation of state

Motivations Setting Stability Appendix

The membrane behaves like a incompressible fluid.

From the Gibbs's phase rule we can see how many degrees of freedom are required to describe the system The most convenient way will be to define our model removing the solven (c = 1) and working in the coexistance line between the liquid and vapour interface ($\phi = 1$)

 $f = c - \phi + 2$ ϕ number of phases c number of components f degrees of freedom

$$P = c_1 \rho + c_2 \rho^2 + c_3 \rho^3$$

 ρ density

 c_i virial coefficient

Removing the solvent we obtain a zero pressure sytem. The equation of state should therefore have a non zero density for vanishing pressure A virial expantion of the equation of state can be approximate up to the third order

We define the following Hamiltonian

$$\frac{H}{k_B T} = \int v_{\alpha\beta} \rho^2(r) + v_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \rho^3(r) \mathrm{d}^3 r$$

that conduce to the wanted equation of state

Motivations Setting Stability

 $f = c - \phi + 2$ ϕ number of phases c number of components f degrees of freedom

$$P = c_1 \rho + c_2 \rho^2 + c_3 \rho^3$$

o density

c_i virial coefficient

 $\alpha, \beta, \gamma = \{A, B\}$

A hydrophobic, B hydrophilic

We define the following Hamiltonian

$$\frac{H}{k_B T} = \int v_{\alpha\beta} \rho^2(r) + v_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \rho^3(r) \mathrm{d}^3 r$$

that conduce to the wanted equation of state

$$\begin{split} \rho_c + \frac{v_{aa}}{2} \rho_c^2 + \frac{v_{aaa}}{3} \rho_c^3 \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow v_{aa} \\ k_t^{-1} &= -V(\partial_V P)_T = v_{aa}\rho + 2v_{aaa}\rho^2 + 1 \Rightarrow v_{aaa} \\ \chi &\simeq \rho \Big(v_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} (v_{aa} + v_{bb}) \Big) \Rightarrow v_{ab} \\ \text{empirically:} v_{bb} &= 0.1 \quad v_{bbb} = 0 \quad v_{aaa} = v_{aab} = v_{abb} \end{split}$$

$$\label{eq:product} \text{npirically: } v_{bb} = 0.1 \qquad v_{bbb} = 0 \qquad v_{aaa} = v_{aab} = v_{abb} = 0.95$$

Motivations Setting Stability Appendix

The membrane behaves like a incompressible fluid.

From the Gibbs's phase rule we can see how many degrees of freedom are required to describe the system The most convenient way will be to define our model removing the solven (c = 1) and working in the coexistance line between the liquid and vapour interface $(\phi = 1)$ $f = c - \phi + 2$ ϕ number of phases c number of components f degrees of freedom

 $P = c_1 \rho + c_2 \rho^2 + c_3 \rho^3$

 ρ density

 c_i virial coefficient

 $\alpha,\beta,\gamma=\{A,B\}$

A hydrophobic, B hydrophilic

Removing the solvent we obtain a zero pressure sytem. The equation of state should therefore have a non zero density for vanishing pressure A virial expantion of the equation of state can be approximate up to the third order

We define the following Hamiltonian

$$\frac{H}{k_B T} = \int v_{\alpha\beta} \rho^2(r) + v_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \rho^3(r) \mathrm{d}^3 r$$

that conduce to the wanted equation of state

$$\begin{split} \rho_c + \frac{v_{aa}}{2}\rho_c^2 + \frac{v_{aaa}}{3}\rho_c^3 \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow v_{aa} \\ k_t^{-1} &= -V(\partial_V P)_T = v_{aa}\rho + 2v_{aaa}\rho^2 + 1 \Rightarrow v_{aaa} \\ \chi &\simeq \rho \Big(v_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}(v_{aa} + v_{bb}) \Big) \Rightarrow v_{ab} \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{empirically: } v_{bb} = 0.1 \quad v_{bbb} = 0 \quad v_{aaa} = v_{aab} = v_{abb} = 0.95\\ \text{Coarse-graining: } \rho \mapsto \frac{\rho R_e^3}{N_{mpc}} \quad v_{\alpha\beta} \mapsto \frac{v_{\alpha\beta}N^2}{R_e^3} \quad v_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \mapsto \frac{v_{\alpha\beta\gamma}N_{mpc}^3}{R_e^6}\\ m_{pc} \text{ monomers per chain, } R_e \text{ end-to-end distance} \end{array}$